# The Effects of Modified Input on the Perception of a Nonnative **Vowel Contrast by Adult Chinese Learners of English** Qin Yuan & John Archibald

#### **1. Background and Reseach Question**

- Ylinen et al. (2010) found that training (with altered durational contrasts) improved learners' L2 [i]/[1] perception. The high variability phonetic training (HVPT) method using modified acoustic stimuli has been shown to help L2 learners to acquire nonnative vowel contrasts (e.g., Rato, 2014; Giannakopoulou & Ylinen, 2013).
- However, scant research has explored whether a modified input (HVPT) paradigm could improve Chinese EFL learners' vowel perception of an English tense/lax contrast ([i]/[1]) or not.

**Does modified durational input improve Chinese EFL learners' perception of the** English spectral vowel contrast /i/-/1/?

## 2. Methodology

- <u>Participants</u>: 56 native Chinese-speaking adults: Experimental group (EG) (n=28); control group (CG) (n=28).
- <u>Tasks</u>: This study employed two tasks: (1) Testing

The forced-choice identification test comprised naturally spoken words with two target vowels (/i/-/ı/), and distractors ( $/\alpha/-\epsilon/$ ). The inter-stimulus interval (ISI) was set to be a 1000 ms. The stimuli consisted of 30 monosyllabic minimal pairs (21 minimal pairs with /i/-/i/ and 9 distractors with /æ/-/ε/). Words were presented in a randomized order;

(2) Training

The participants were taught the /i/-/1/ contrast in six 15-minute classroom training sessions (over three weeks) including an ABX discrimination task. In this task, the EG and the CG were exposed to the same number of lexical [i]/[I] pairs.

### Stimuli

- The EG heard natural stimuli, plus synthesized stimuli whose vowel lengths had been systematically altered as shown in Table 1. The stimulus vowels were either: (a) naturally occurring (i.e., unmodified),
- (b) lengthened (by a factor of two), or (c) shortened (by half).
- The CG trained using natural stimuli only.

# Table 1. Duration Values for Naturally-Produced and Synthesized Vowel Sounds for Sheep and Ship

| Sound          | Duration<br>(factor / in ms.) |        |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| _              | 1.0 / 644                     |        |
| sheep<br>[∫ip] | 0.5 / 322                     | Modif  |
|                | 2.0 / 1288                    | Modif  |
| ship<br>[ʃɪp]  | 1.0 / 584                     |        |
|                | 0.5 / 292                     | Modifi |
|                | 2.0 / 1168                    | Modif  |
|                |                               |        |

**Pre-test** 

Treatment

A baseline pre-test identification task, and an identical post-test identification task determined if the exposure to high-variability vowel duration affected identification accuracy.

• <u>Analysis</u>: The effect of modified input training was evaluated by comparing the results obtained at the preand post-tests. An ANCOVA was conducted on the two groups.

New Sounds 2019. Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan



Email: qinyuan@uivc.ca

Sound version

- Natural production
- fied short vowel duration
- ified long vowel duration
- Natural production
- fied short vowelduration
- ified long vowel duration

**Post-test** 

# **3. Results**

Table 2 presents the information of Number (N), Maxium (Max) score (out of 30), Mean (M), and Standard Deviation (SD) on participant's identification scores by the two groups in the pre- and post-tests.

# **Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for Identification Scores by the Two Groups in the Pre- and Post-tests**

| Pre-test |    |     |       |       | Post-test |     |       |       |
|----------|----|-----|-------|-------|-----------|-----|-------|-------|
| Group    | N  | Max | М     | SD    | N         | Max | М     | SD    |
| EG       | 28 | 19  | 11.61 | 4.272 | 28        | 21  | 15.39 | 4.701 |
| CG       | 28 | 20  | 13.04 | 3.214 | 28        | 21  | 13.46 | 3.834 |

With the covariate of the pre-test scores taken into account, there was a significant difference (p = .003;  $\eta p^2 = .159$ ) indicating that the EG improved significantly (large effect size) more than the CG.

# 4. Discussion and Conclusion

- spectral properties.
- References:



• Modified durational input enhances Chinese EFL learners' perception of the English /i/ and /i/ vowels. This could be because (a) the added duration allows time to for the listener to hear the spectral difference, or (b) the modified duration removes the reliability of the durational cue, so the listener must attend to the

• This has possibilities as a pedagogic technique.

• Giannakopoulou, A., Uther, M., & Ylinen, S. (2013). Enhanced plasticity in spoken language acquisition for child learners: Evidence from phonetic training studies in child and adult leaners of English. *Child Language Teaching and Therapy, 29*(2), 201-218. • Rato, A. (2014). Effects of perceptual training on the identification of English vowels by native speakers of European Portuguese. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on the Acquisition of Second Language Speech (Vol. 5, pp. 529-546).

• Ylinen, S. et al. (2010). Training the brain to weight speech cues differently: A study of Finnish second-language users of English. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 22(6): 1319-1332.