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## Wiese's Dilemma

- German plural forms are prosodically homogenous

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text {full }} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text {weak }}\right)
$$

- But segmentally heterogeneous:


## The German Plural

| Singular Form | Plural Form | Affixation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pelz (fur) | Pelz $[\boldsymbol{\jmath}]$ | Affixation of $-\boldsymbol{r}$ |
| Kind (child) | Kind $[\mathfrak{e}]$ | Affixation of $-\mathbf{e}$ |
| Held (hero) | Helden | Affixation of -n |
| Stecken (stick) | Stecken | $\emptyset$-Affixation |

## Wunderlich's Dilemma

- Central parameters are unpredictable
- Thron $\rightarrow$ Thron[ə] (throne)
- Sohn $\rightarrow$ Söhn $[ə]$ (son)
- Mund $\rightarrow$ Münd[ $[\mathrm{e}]$ (mouth)
- Bund $\rightarrow$ Bünd $[ə]$ (federation)


## Umlaut \& -n

- Plural -n cannot co-occur with umlaut
- Pat[ə] $\rightarrow$ Pate-n/*Päte-n (godparents)
- But non-plural -n can:
- But Laden (store) $\rightarrow$ Läden (stores)


## Multiple Exponence

- "...in which a category if positively identified at all, would have exponents in each of two or more distinct positions."
--Mathews (1974: 149)


## Multiple Exponence

| Singular | Plural |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arm | Arme | 'arm' |
| Vater | Väter | 'father' |
| Hals | Hälse | 'neck' |

## Why do we care as Linguists?

- Violates biuniqueness: 1 form : 1 meaning
- Contra economy principles
- "Multiple exponence is the occurrence of multiple realizations of a single morphosemantic feature, bundle of features, or derivational category within a word." (Harris, 2017: 9)
- "An alternation introduced by a phonological rule is not considered an exponent, and hence the alternation cannot involve this as one of the two morphemes in a relation of multiple exponence."
- Phonologically-conditioned morphological phenomena are not ME


## Why do we care as Acquisitionists?

- Given the variation in the input, what are the possible learning paths and hypotheses?
- Representationally, what does it look like?


## Interfaces

- This is an an area where we confront the phonology/morphology interface
- Prosodic Transfer Hypothesis (Goad \& White, 2006; forthcoming)
- Why L2 morphemes are omitted


## Embick (2010)

- A local, serial model of the interface
- Distributed Morphology
- Competition for allomorph selection but not competition between complex objects
- Explored in Archibald (2016)


## Trommer (2015)

- Coloured Containment Theory (van Oostendorp, 2006)
- Distinct morphemes have different morphological 'colours'
- All phonological objects affiliated with a given morpheme wear its colour
- Phonological constraints can't target specific morphemes (e.g., 3sg)
- Morphological structure is minimally reflected in phonological representations by colour only
- Morphological colour is the only morphological information visible to phonological constraints


## Exponence

- $[\mathrm{F}] \Leftrightarrow \Phi$
- The feature $[F]$ has exponent phi
- E.g. If English present participle, then [rı]


## Contextual Allomorphy


(Bonet \& Harbour, 2012)

## German Plural Allomorphs

- There is only a single $[+\mathrm{pl}]$ affix $\leftrightarrow$
[COR]
- $[+\mathrm{pl}+\mathrm{fem}] \leftrightarrow[\mathrm{NASAL}]$


## $\left.*_{\text {umlaut }}\right]_{\text {Root }}+[\mathrm{n}]$

- Why?


## Phonological Architecture

- Feature dependency
- Association lines


## Feature Geometry

| $/ \mathrm{t} /$ | $/ \mathrm{p} /$ | $/ \mathrm{k} /$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Root | Root |
| \| | $\mid$ | $\mid$ |
| Place | Place | Place |
|  | $\mid$ | $\mid$ |
|  | Peripheral | Peripheral |
|  |  | $\mid$ |
|  |  | Dorsal |

## Feature Geometry



## Trommer

| Coronal Consonant | Coronal Vowel |
| :---: | :---: |
| CPlace | CPLace |
| $\mid$ | $\mid$ |
| $[$ COR $]$ | VPlace |
| $\mid$ | $\mid$ |
| $[\mathrm{n}]$ | $[\mathrm{COR}]$ |
|  | $\mid$ |
|  | $[\mathrm{i}]$ |

## Plural

- $[+\mathrm{pl}] \leftrightarrow$ COR
- $[+\mathrm{pl}+\mathrm{fem}] . \leftrightarrow$ NAS


## L2 Learners

- Will they produce umlaut $]_{\text {Root }}+-n$ ?
- If so, they might be violating phonological universals


## How NOT to design a research study

- Don't do as I do.....

- Let's gather data from 150 people and see if they don't do something that Trommer says they shouldn't do....
- Why is this not a null result?


## Prove a platypus doesn't lay eggs

- Don't show a picture of a platypus not laying eggs


## Actual Drawings!



## Our Study

- 154 university, classroom learners of German
- Fill in the blanks test of German plurals
- E.g. given article + noun, the plural would be $\qquad$
- Two data collection times approximately one month apart in Intro German at a Canadian University
- T1: given spelling of singular noun
- T2: given picture of singular noun


## Test Items T1

5. Translation. Translate the following words. (5 Punkte)
6. good, well

7. Lebensmittel. Please provide the plurals of the following nouns (6 Punkte)


## Test Items T2



## Most Likely Outcome?

- Coordinate 3 instructors
- Tally all the error forms
- Subjects make all kinds of errors
- Can't tell anything definitively
- Usual risk of exploratory research
- I didn't design or score the tests; this was done by the instructors
- I looked at the wrong answers, and assessed what type of wrong answer it was
- Type A: choosing the wrong (but possible) allomorph
- E.g., Wursten; Wurste $\leftarrow$ Würste
- Type B: choosing the wrong (but impossible) allomorph
- E.g., Würsten $\leftarrow$ Würste



## *Würsten



## Time 1 Words

| Der Apfel (apple) | Die Äpfel |
| :--- | :--- |
| Die Wurst (sausage) | Die Würste |
| Die Tomate (tomato) | Die Tomaten |
| Die Suppe (soup) | Die Suppen |
| Der Salat (salad) | Die Salate |
| Das Ei (egg) | Die Eier |

## Time 2 Words

| Die Haltestelle ((bus) stop) | Die Haltestellen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Der Zug (train) | Die Züge |
| Das Geschenk ((birthday) present) | Die Geschenke |
| Das Taxi (taxi) | Die Taxis |
| Der Koffer (suitcase) | Die Koffer |
| Die Zeitung (newspaper) | Die Zeitungen |
| Das Flugzeug (airplane) | Die Flugzeuge |

## The Task

- Q: Does this task really tap phonology?
- A: Yes, it does.


## Phonology, Silent Reading, and Lexical Activation

- Acoustic influence in letter cancellation. (Corcoran, 1966, 1967)
- Cross-out the letter $e$
- Visual tongue twister effects (McCutchen, D. and Perfetti, C., 1982)
- Tongue twisters take longer to read silently
- Prosodic constraints on reanalysis (Bader, 1998)
- In order to help the little boy put down the package he was carrying.
- Peter knew the answer would be false.


## Phonology, Silent Reading, and Lexical Activation

- Relative clause ambiguity attachment. (Fodor, 2002).
- Someone shot the servant of the actress [who was on the balcony]
- Someone shot the servant of the actress [who was on the balcony with her husband]
- Corollory discharge in inner speech (Scott et al., 2013).
- I.e., categorization effects on imagining and mouthing speech
- Electromyography (McGuian \& Dollins, 1989)
- Muscular activity triggered during silent reading
- Bilingual lexicon and non-selective access (LDT and eyetracking)
- Differential behavior of interlingual homophones and interlingual homographs in
- LDT reaction times
- and eyetracking fixation times


## Dijkstra et al.

| SOP Cognates <br> hotel <br> film <br> lip | SO Cognates <br> fruit [frøyt] <br> chaos [xaos] <br> jury [3yri] | SP Cognates news/nieuws boat/boot wheel/wiel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OP False Friends step (scooter) arts (doctor) kin (chin) | O IL Homographs glad [xlat] (slippery) | P IL Homophones <br> [lif] <br> 'leaf' 'lief' <br> (dear) |

## Eyetracking Task

- IL Homographs: An angel/elbow can be damaged easily.
- IL Homophones: I had never seen a single oar/oat before.
- Frequency, length and predictability matched


## Gaze Duration Results: Interlingual Homophones

- Phonological Condition:
- Mean Control fixation: 239 ms
- Mean Experimental fixation: 280 ms
- p < . 05
- +41 ms inhibition
- So, lexical activation (including by silent reading) taps into phonology


## German Results

|  | T1 | T2 | Totals |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subjects | 87 | 67 | 154 |  |
| Test Items | 522 | 469 | 991 |  |
| Correct | 292 | 239 | 593 |  |
| Type A Error | $225(43 \%)$ | $71(15 \%)$ | $159(33 \%)$ | $296(30 \%)$ |
| Type B Error | $5(.9 \%)$ | $2(.4 \%)$ | $7(.7 \%)$ |  |

## Type B Errors

- Die Zügen (trains)
- Die Zeitüngen (newspapers)
- Die Tömaten (tomatoes)
- Die Würsten (sausages)
- Die Süppen (soups)
- Errors (systematic) or mistakes (random)?


## Chi-squared Results

|  | Observed <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | Expected <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | Residual |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Type A | 296 | 151.5 |  |  |
| Type B | 7 | 151.5 | 144.5 |  |
| Total | 303 |  |  | -144.5 |



## T1 Errors by Item

| Umlaut Only |  | Umlaut + e |  | -n |  | -e |  | -er |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apfel (apple) |  | Wurst (sausage) |  | Tomate (tomato) Suppe (soup) |  | Salat (salad) |  | Ei (egg) |  |
| Correct | Incorrect | Correct | Incorrect | Correct | Incorrect | Correct | Incorrect | Correct | Incorrect |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & (55 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & (44 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & (43 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & (56 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & (70 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & (29 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & (68 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & (31 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & (32 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & (67 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

$$
\mathrm{n}=87
$$

## T2 Errors by Item

| Null |  | Umlaut + e |  | -n |  | -e |  | -en |  | -s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Koffer |  | Zuge |  | Haltstelle |  | Flugzeug Geschenk |  | Zeitung |  | Taxi |  |
| $\checkmark$ | x | $\checkmark$ | x | $\checkmark$ | x | $\checkmark$ | x | $\checkmark$ | x | $\checkmark$ | x |
| 25 | 17 | 31 | 12 | 35 | 2 | 52 | 20 | 30 | 13 | 59 | 5 |
| (Blank) | (25) |  | (14) |  | (30) |  | (62) |  | (34) |  | (3) |

$$
\mathrm{n}=67
$$

- It is not the case that 'they don't produce things they don't hear, and they never hear umlaut $+n$ '.
- Rather 'they don't produce illegal structures that they don't hear but they produce legal structures that they don't hear'


## Type A Errors

- Wursten; Wurste $\leftarrow$ Würste
- Apfels; Apfelen $\leftarrow$ Äpfel
- Süppe
$\leftarrow$ Suppen
- Tomate; Tomates $\leftarrow$ Tomaten


## No impossible Turkish grammars

- Özcelik \& Sprouse (2016)
- Tier-based locality of vowel harmony in Turkish


## Vowel Harmony



## Secondary Feature Spreading



## No Crossing Constraint

| $*_{\mathrm{r}}$ | o | 1 | a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ |
| CPl | CPl | CPl | CPl |
|  | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ |
|  | VPl | VPl | VPl |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $[\mathrm{DOR}][\mathrm{COR}]$ |  |  |

## No impossible German grammars

- Poverty of the stimulus
- Acoustic cues of umlaut and [n] are very different
- 'Sometimes I hear umlaut' (e.g., Würste)
- Sometimes I hear -[n] (e.g. Suppen)
- I never hear umlaut AND plural -[n] but I hear umlaut + [n] in Roots
- Certainly not taught in class
- What IS taught in class?
- From Glas-Peters, Pude \& Reimann (2012). Menschen. Deutsch als Fremdsprache. Arbeitsbuch.



## The Input

- Learners bear ME in the input:
- 'Sohn' -> 'Söhne' \{umlaut $+[\rho]\}$
- 'Mund' -> 'Münder' \{umlaut + $[\mathrm{e}]\}$
- So what blocks the hypothesizing of \{umlaut + plural [n]\}?
- Remember umlaut +n exists in Roots (e.g. Läden)
- Indirect -ve evidence?
- VC interaction in English plural? Seems unlikely. Cbildren. Geese. Irregulars.


## Trommer Machinery

- No Crossing Lines (aka NoSkipCPlace)
- A Ple node dominated by a Cplace node may not associate across another Cplace node


## Phonological Architecture

- No multiple linking
- [COR] links to EITHER CPlace OR VPlace
- Our subjects' behaviour is consistent with this principle
- This is not multiple exponence but phonologically-conditioned allomorphy
- IL grammars respect the rules of the morphology/phonology interface


## Conclusion

- The IL grammars do not allow Crossing of Association lines
- It's the $889 / 991$ forms ( $(90 \%)$ that tell the story
- The $7 / 991(.7 \%)$ are more like marginalia; notable in their absence
- My picture of a platypus not laying eggs fits into a bigger theoretical and empirical picture.
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