

CHEM 498 / 499 Written Report Evaluation

Date:

Student:

Committee Member:

Score	Total	Criteria
	1	Clear overview and description of project (1=yes, 0=no)
	2	Review of the pertinent literature (2=excellent job, 1=sufficient, 0=insufficient)
	1	Description of experimental procedure (1=complete, 0=insufficient)
	1	Data presented in a concise manner (1=yes, graphs and tables where appropriate; 0=no)
	3	Quality of discussion (3=excellent, 2= attempt to interpret data & account for trends, 1=minimal discussion of results, 0=results are stated but not discussed)
	1	References to the literature (1=appropriate, 0=a significant amount of references are missing)
	3	General level of organization (3=very good, 2=good, 1=acceptable, 0=disorganized)
	1	Scholarly style (1=okay, 0=writing is too casual)
	1	Length of document, excluding appendices (1=appropriate for project /discipline, 0=too long or short)
	1	Number of typographic errors (1=minimum/acceptable, 0=too many)
	5	Discretionary points (5=challenging project and/or outstanding effort and/or great results, beyond what is represented by the above subtotal ... 0=high score in many of the above categories, but the subtotal over-represents the quality of the thesis)
	20	Total

Notes: